

## lmoscope

generally abandoned, along with of positivist \*reductive analysis.

JRR

ope. See diagnosis; vision.

> *Ad hoc* hypotheses; anaesthesia; m; quality.

les. See double stars.

ers. See isomerism.

electricity and magnetism; light;

continuity.

irvey. See maps.

stal.

sed metaphorically to describe in-  
ms having properties transcending  
parts. Historically it was applied to  
as which, because they exhibited  
perties (such as the ability to  
were considered irreducibly  
n inanimate or 'inorganic' species  
rreducibility was challenged when  
(1596-1650) construed animals as  
ies [\*man-machine]. But not until  
rtury did the science of organic  
velop. As organic \*analysis was  
ecially by J. von Liebig (1803-73),  
onstituents of 'organic' substances  
ed. The apparently universal pre-  
on, together with the laboratory  
of carbon \*compounds bearing  
h those derived from organisms,  
y the early 1840s, organic chemis-  
e chemistry, not of living systems,  
compounds.

s between organic and inorganic  
ly eroded, not only by artificial  
ut by the establishment of com-  
d \*structural analogies between  
norganic compounds, as displayed  
nd \*type theories. In \*biology,  
evolution, culminating in that of  
vin (1809-82), suggested organic  
ultimately, derived from inorganic  
neous generation].

JHB

**organismic analogy.** See community; evolu-  
tionism in mind and society; functionalism;  
microcosm/macrocosm.

**organization.** In the late 18th century,  
naturalists including Johann Blumenbach  
(1752-1840), L.-J.-M. Daubenton (1716-1800),  
Antoine-Laurent de Jussieu (1748-1836) and  
Félix Vicq d'Azyr (1748-94) concluded the ma-  
jor feature distinguishing living from inanimate  
things was *organization*, possessed by only the  
former. They also believed this difference more  
fundamental than the \*animal/vegetable dis-  
tinction, thus negating the division of \*Nature  
into three comparable kingdoms. Emphasis on  
the organizational similarity of plants and  
animals led early 19th-century naturalists to a  
generalized concept of \*life, the study of which  
was called \*biology.

Although anatomical investigations did not  
reveal the same organizational complexity for  
plants as for animals, botanical functions such  
as nutrition, growth, and reproduction seemed  
to \*mechanists in particular to demand some  
complexity of organization in plants. Plants as  
well as animals were presumed to have organs  
suitable for different functions.

From the late 18th century organization was  
seen as the key to the \*natural order of  
\*classification. De Jussieu used the idea of the  
\*subordination of characters for classifying  
plants as did Georges Cuvier (1769-1832) for  
animals. Jean-Baptiste Lamarck (1744-1829)  
made organization the key to his classificatory  
work, maintaining the natural order of both  
plants and animals was in each case best repre-  
sented by a series of increasing complexity of  
organization. Cuvier's major systematic treatise,  
his *Le Règne Animal distribué d'après son  
Organisation* (The Animal Kingdom Arranged  
by its Organization, 1817), denied animals could  
be arranged in series, identifying instead four  
separate and basic plans of animal organization.

RWB

**organizer.** Around 1900 embryologists asked  
whether individual \*development depends only  
on factors inside the \*fertilized \*egg cell or also  
on external factors. Within \*developmental  
mechanics, this question became: is the organ-  
ism self-differentiating or dependently dif-  
ferentiating, and is development \*epigenetic or  
predetermined?

Hans Spemann's (1869-1941) classic experi-  
ments resolved these questions. Transplanting

pigmented tissues from one embryo to another  
he traced the relative contributions of each. In  
1924, with Hilde Mangold, he discovered that  
the dorsal lip of the blastopore transforms the  
material it touches, serving as an organizer for  
development. Each stage of development is thus  
necessary to direct the next.

JM

**orogenesis.** See mountains.

**Ørsted's effect.** See electricity and magnetism.

**orthogenesis.** An evolutionary term coined by  
Wilhelm Haacke (1855-1912) and used by T. G.  
H. Eimer (1843-98) to describe and account for  
rectilinear trends in \*evolution over long  
periods of time. Such trends, exemplified in  
cases like the hypertrophy of antlers of the \*ex-  
tinct 'Irish elk', were variously explained as due  
to the steady interaction of the organism with  
the \*environment, the effect of \*natural selec-  
tion on spontaneous \*mutations, or the exis-  
tence of an internal, perfecting principle  
[\*teleology]. The idea of steady progressions in  
the \*fossil record explicable by orthogenesis  
was criticized by George Gaylord Simpson (*b*  
1902) and now has little support.

RWB

**osmosis.** The passage of solvent into a \*solu-  
tion through a barrier impermeable to  
\*molecules of the solute. This phenomenon,  
though previously noted, was first investigated  
scientifically by Jean Nollet (1700-70) in 1748.  
More systematic experiments by René  
Dutrochet (1776-1847) in the 1820s and 1830s,  
and by Thomas Graham (1805-69) and Justus  
Liebig (1803-73) in the 1840s and 1850s did not  
lead to a satisfactory theory. In 1877 Friedrich  
Pfeffer (1845-1920) published measurements of  
osmotic pressure, showing it directly propor-  
tional to \*concentration (and hence inversely to  
volume) and also directly proportional to ab-  
solute temperature, i.e.  $PV = kT$ . In 1885,  
Jacobus van't Hoff (1852-1911) related this con-  
stant,  $k$ , to the universal \*gas constant  $R$ , and  
further demonstrated other gas \*laws were  
quantitatively applicable to dissolved substances  
after allowing for their tendency to \*dissociate  
into \*ions, as suggested by Svante Arrhenius  
(1859-1927) in 1887. Osmosis could now be ex-  
plained by a general physicochemical theory of  
solutions, while its crucial role in animal and  
plant physiology became better understood

followin  
(1848-1

ostensiv  
pointing  
vide sar  
sive defi  
of defin  
where t  
by sor  
definiens  
must lea  
Ostensiv  
as the m  
herently  
However  
stein (18  
ostensiv  
prior un  
means u  
as open  
See also

oviparou

ovism/a  
late 17th  
organism  
ism's eg  
Graaf (1  
was the  
seen eggs  
19th cen  
siderable  
parent: t  
offspring  
\*germ.

In 16  
(1632-17  
emphasis  
preforma  
were the  
miniatur  
Hartsoek  
the homi  
bodied ir  
Debate  
continue  
around 1  
serious c